

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,130 06/21/2004		Jinhua Huang	146903	4129
23413 7	590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002		2832	TATER NOWIDER	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EV

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/710,130	HUANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lincoln Donovan	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed vs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	April 2005.				
· <u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-20 and 24-27 is/s 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15,21-23 and 28-31 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	are withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2004</u> is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • •	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contraction (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06-24-04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 16-20 and 24-27 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected claimed species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 04-06-05.

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction in the reply filed on 04-06-05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for invention of embodiments 7-8 is related to that of embodiments 1-6. This is not found persuasive because the specific layering and orientation necessitates further search and/or considerations.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 15 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al. [US 2004/0246083] in view of Abele et al. [US 5,475,355].

Regarding claims 1-7, and 21-23, Aoki et al. discloses a magnetic field generating device comprising:

Art Unit: 2832

- a plurality of permanent magnet blocks [24] each having north end and a south end aligned in the same north-south orientation configured to have a surface at the north polarity end and a surface at the south polarity end; and

- a layer of ferromagnetic material [26] securely disposed at one of the surfaces of the permanent magnet arrangement [paragraph 42].

Aoki et al. disclose everything claimed except the specific thickness of the ferromagnetic material.

Abele et al. discloses a magnetic field generating device [figure 1] having a permanent magnet arrangement [16, figure 5] with a unilayer ferromagnetic material [20] disposed thereon whose thickness is selected based on the desired characteristics of the arrangement [column 19, lines 54-65].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the thickness of the ferromagnetic layer, as suggested by Abele et al. in Aoki et al., since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claims 8-11, Aoki et al. discloses the use of a permanent magnetic shim [32, 40, figure 2] disposed opposing the layer. The specific polarity and number of shims used would have been an obvious design consideration dependent upon the intended region of interest and flux leakage.

Regarding claims 12-13, Aoki et al. disclose the layer being secured with an adhesive [column 4, lines 43-48].

Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to also use an adhesive to secure the shims in order to simplify attachment thereof.

Regarding claim 15, Aoki et al., as modified, disclose everything claimed except the specific magnetic field variation.

The specific magnetic field variation would have been an obvious design consideration based on the specific application of the magnetic field generating device and necessary accuracy thereof.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al., as modified, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Aoki et al. [US 6,794,973].

Aoki et al., as modified, disclose everything claimed except the layer being segmented.

Aoki et al. '973 disclose a segmented shimming layer [figure 1a] used in a magnetic field generating device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the layered design of Aoki et al. '973 for the unilayer of Aoki et al., as modified, in order to provide a specific thickness.

Claims 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al., as modified, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Aoki et al. [US 6,275,128].

Art Unit: 2832

Regarding claims 28-31, Aoki et al., as modified, disclose everything claimed except the layer including a non-ferromagnetic shim plate with a plurality of pockets accommodating magnetic shims.

Aoki et al. '128 discloses a magnetic field generating device [figure 1] having a non-ferromagnetic shim plate [13] with a plurality of pockets [12] accommodating magnetic shims [15] integrally formed with a ferromagnetic plate [11].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the shimming design of Aoki et al. '128, with Aoki et al., as modified, in order to readily adjust the shimming of the assembly.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd